

UNITED STA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

| APPLICATION NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APP | LICANT | ATTY, DOCKET NO. |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 08/846.017 | 04/25/97 | CECH | _r_ | U) 0-02824 Examples |
| | | . HM21/0928 | | |
| | SMITH AND ROWNSEND CADERO CENTER | | LSCH. | PAPER NUMBER |
| 8TH FLOOR SAN FRANCI | ISCO CA 94111 | -3834 | 1642 DATE N | |
| This is a communication for COMMISSIONER OF PAT | | | | |
| | | OFFICE ACTION SUM | MARY | |
| Responsive to commun | nication(s) filed on | 3/2/98 | | |
| ☐ This action is FINAL. | | 1 1 | | |
| Since this application is accordance with the pri | s in condition for allow actice under Ex parte | ance except for formal matters Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O. | s, prosecution as to the r G. 213. | nerits is closed in |
| A shortened statutory perio | od for response to this | action is set to expire | 3 month | n(s), or thirty days, |
| whichever is longer, from the the application to become a 1.136(a). | ne mailing date of this on the calculation of the c | communication. Failure to res . § 133). Extensions of time n | pond within the period for | response will cause |
| Disposition of Claims | | • | | |
| _/ . | - 57 | | | |
| Of the above, claim(s) | - 26 | <u></u> | | re pending in the application. ithdrawn from consideration. |
| ☑ Claim(s) <u>(e - 1</u> | 2 and | 21-2C | ayale w | is/are allowed a lite |
| Claim(s) | cand 14 | -17- | | is/are rejected. |
| | | | | is/are objected to. |
| Claim(s) | | | | iction or election requirement |
| Ctaim(s) | · | | are subject to restr | iction or election requirement. |
| Claim(s) | e of Draftsperson's Pa | tent Drawing Review PTO-94 | | ction or election requirement. |
| Claim(s) | • | tent Drawing Review, PTO-94 | | |
| Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notic The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing | correction, filed on | is/ | 8. are objected to by the Exa | |
| Ctaim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notic The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is objective. | correction, filed on | is/ | 8. are objected to by the Exa | miner. |
| Ctaim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaration | correction, filed on ected to by the Examin is objected to by the l | is/ | 8. are objected to by the Exa | miner. |
| Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notic The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obi The oath or declaration | correction, filed on ected to by the Examin is objected to by the I | is/ ner. Examiner. | 8. are objected to by the Exa is a | miner. |
| Ctaim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notic The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaration | correction, filed on ected to by the Examin is objected to by the I | is/ | 8. are objected to by the Exa is a | miner. |
| Ctaim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaration Priority under 35 U.S.C. § Acknowledgment is ma | correction, filed on | is/ ner. Examiner. | 8. are objected to by the Exa is a | miner. |
| Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaration Priority under 35 U.S.C. § Acknowledgment is ma All Some* received. received in Applica | correction, filed on ected to by the Examin is objected to by the I 119 ide of a claim for foreig None of the CERT | is/ Examiner. priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 TFIED copies of the priority do (Serial Number) | 8. are objected to by the Exa is and | miner. |
| Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaration Priority under 35 U.S.C. § Acknowledgment is ma All Some* received. received in Application received in this nat | correction, filed on | is/ Examiner. priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 TFIED copies of the priority do | 8. are objected to by the Exa is and | miner. |
| Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaration Priority under 35 U.S.C. § Acknowledgment is ma All Some* received. received in Applica received in this nat *Certified copies not rece | correction, filed on ected to by the Examin is objected to by the i 119 ide of a claim for foreig None of the CERT ation No. (Series Code, tional stage application elived: | is/ ner. Examiner. In priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 TFIED copies of the priority do (Serial Number) | 8. are objected to by the Exa is at a | miner. |
| Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaration Priority under 35 U.S.C. § Acknowledgment is ma All Some* received. received in Applica received in this nat *Certified copies not rece | correction, filed on ected to by the Examin is objected to by the i 119 ide of a claim for foreig None of the CERT ation No. (Series Code, tional stage application elived: | is/ Examiner. priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 TFIED copies of the priority do (Serial Number) | 8. are objected to by the Exa is at a | miner. |
| Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaration Priority under 35 U.S.C. § Acknowledgment is ma All Some* received. received in Applica received in Applica received in this nat *Certified copies not rece Acknowledgment is ma Attachment(s) | correction, filed onected to by the Examin is objected to by the I 119 and of a claim for foreign in the CERT attion No. (Series Code tional stage application elived:etal in the content of the claim for dome | is/ ner. Examiner. In priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 IFIED copies of the priority do (Serial Number) In from the International Bureau estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 8. are objected to by the Exa is at a | miner. |
| Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaration Priority under 35 U.S.C. § Acknowledgment is ma All Some* received. received in Applica received in Applica received in this nat *Certified copies not rece Acknowledgment is ma Attachment(s) | correction, filed onected to by the Examin is objected to by the I 119 and of a claim for foreign in the CERT atton No. (Series Code tional stage application elived:eted, PTO-892 — 2 | is/ ner. Examiner. In priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 IFIED copies of the priority do (Serial Number) In from the International Bureau estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 8. are objected to by the Exa is all 19(a)-(d). currents have been 1 (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | miner. |
| Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaration Priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ Acknowledgment is ma All Some* received in Applica received in Applica received in this nat *Certified copies not rece Acknowledgment is ma Attachment(s) Notice of Reference Cit | correction, filed onected to by the Examin is objected to by the III9 Ide of a claim for foreign in the CERT | is/ ner. Examiner. In priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 IFIED copies of the priority do (Serial Number) In from the International Bureau estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 8. are objected to by the Exa is all 19(a)-(d). currents have been 1 (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | miner. |
| Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice The drawing(s) filed on The proposed drawing The specification is obj The oath or declaration Priority under 35 U.S.C. § Acknowledgment is ma All Some* received. received in Applica received in Applica received in this nat *Certified copies not rece Acknowledgment is ma Attachment(s) | correction, filed onected to by the Examin is objected to by the IIII IIII IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII | is/ ner. Examiner. In priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 IFIED copies of the priority do (Serial Number) In from the International Bureau estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § Size Size Size Size Size Size Size Size | 8. are objected to by the Exa is all 19(a)-(d). currents have been 1 (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | miner. |

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 21-26 were added by the preliminary amendment filed March 2, 1998, claims 1-26

are pending in the application.

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-17 and 21-26 is acknowledged; claims 18-20 are

withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-

elected invention. Election was made without traverse.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing

to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the

invention.

SEQ ID NO:83 is recited twice in claim 1; is some other SEQ ID NO intended?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- - •

Art Unit: 1642

Claims 2-4, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Glaser et al. (Molecular Microbiology, vol. 10, pp. 371-384, 1993) or Natarajan et al. (PNAS, vol. 89, pp. 8874-8878, 1992) or Du et al. (Direct submission to GenBank, accession no. S53396, 1995).

Claim 2 is directed to a polynucleotide encoding a polypeptide **comprising** the amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID Nos:71, 73, 77 and 83, among several others. No upper size limit is specified for the peptides. Claim 3 is directed to polynucleotides which would hybridize specifically to telomerase sequences; claim 4 is directed to complements of sequences encoding the instant peptides. Claim 15 recites that the polynucleotide is contained on a recombinant vector; claim 16 recites that it is in a host cell.

Glaser *et al.* disclose a nucleic acid sequence encoding a peptide containing the instant SEQ ID NO:71 (the relevant nucleic acid sequence was submitted to GenBank under accession no. S39696).

Natarajan *et al.* disclose a nucleic acid sequence encoding a peptide containing the instant SEQ ID NO:73 (the relevant nucleic acid sequence was submitted to GenBank under accession no. A46242).

Du et al. disclose a nucleic acid sequence which encodes a peptide containing the instant SEQ ID Nos:77 and 83 (the relevant nucleic acid sequences were submitted to GenBank under accession nos. S53396 and Q06163).

Art Unit: 1642

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Zahner *et al.* (Direct submission to GenBank, accession no. L38903, available July 26, 1996.

Claim 5 is directed to a polynucleotide sequence that hybridizes under stringent conditions to a nucleic acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO:66, among others.

Zahner *et al.* disclose a nucleic acid sequence identical to SEQ ID NO:66 over a span of approximately 500 residues; Zahner *et al.*'s polynucleotide would hybridize under stringent conditions to SEQ ID NO:66.

Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Hillier *et al.* (direct submission to Gen Bank, EST database, accession no. W70315, available October 17, 1996).

Claim 13 is directed to an antisense molecule "complementary to at least a portion of the nucleotide of SEQ ID NO:100." Claim 14 recites that the antisense molecule is in a pharmaceutical excipient.

Hillier *et al.* disclose a polynucleotide (accession no. W70315) which is complementary to a portion of SEQ ID NO:100.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was

Art Unit: 1642

commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glaser et al. (Molecular Microbiology, vol. 10, pp. 371-384, 1993) or Natarajan *et al.* (PNAS, vol. 89, pp. 8874-8878) or Du *et al.* (Direct submission to GenBank, accession no. S53396).

Claim 1 is directed to a purified peptide **comprising** the amino acid sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID Nos:71, 73, 77 and 83, among several others. No upper size limit is specified for the peptides. Claim 17 is directed to producing the polypeptides of interest by expressing polynucleotides.

Glaser *et al.* disclose nucleic acid and hypothetical amino acid sequences which contain the instant SEQ ID NO:71 (the relevant nucleic acid sequence was submitted to GenBank under accession no. S39696). The reference differs from the instant invention in not actually expressing

Art Unit: 1642

the nucleic acid, but it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have done so because it is the protein that is of ultimately of interest.

Natarajan *et al.* disclose nucleic acid and hypothetical amino acid sequences which contain the instant SEQ ID NO:73 (the relevant nucleic acid sequence was submitted to GenBank under accession no. A46242). The reference differs from the instant invention in not actually expressing the nucleic acid, but it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have done so because it is the protein that is of ultimately of interest.

Du et al. disclose nucleic acid and hypothetical amino acid sequences which contain the instant SEQ ID Nos:77 and 83 (the relevant nucleic acid sequences were submitted to GenBank under accession nos. S53396 and Q06163). The reference differs from the instant invention in not actually expressing the nucleic acid, but it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have done so because it is the protein that is of ultimately of interest.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-12 and 21-26 are allowable because the prior art neither teaches nor suggests the instant telomerase reverse transcriptase (TRT) polypeptides and polynucleotides encoding them; nor does the prior art suggest that polynucleotides having the instantly recited sequences (or portions thereof) could be used to identify polynucleotides encoding telomerase reverse transcriptases in body samples. That is, even where the prior art discloses portions of the instantly recited polynucleotides (portions which would be capable of hybridizing with polynucleotides

Art Unit: 1642

encoding TRT), there is no suggestion that such sequences represent portions of TRT, thus there is no motivation to use the prior art polynucleotides to detect TRT in a sample.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toni R. Scheiner whose telephone number is (703) 308-3983. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

8/31/98

TONI R. SCHEINER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800

Doni R. Schemer